1		STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
2		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
3		
4		12 - 10:00 a.m.
5	Concord, New	NHPUC SEP13'12 PM 3:54
6	, 77 , 1	
7	RE:	AQUARION WATER COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE, INC.
8		Notice of Intent to File Rate Schedules. (Hearing regarding temporary rates)
9		
10	PRESENT:	Commissioner Michael D. Harrington, Presiding Commissioner Robert R. Scott
11		F. Anne Ross, General Counsel
12		Sandy Deno, Clerk
13		
14 15	APPEARANCES:	Reptg. Aquarion Water Co. of New Hampshire: Patrick H. Taylor, Esq. (McLane, Graf)
16		Reptg. the Town of Hampton, N.H.: Mark S. Gearreald, Esq.
17		Reptg. Residential Ratepayers:
18		Rorie E. P. Hollenberg, Esq. Donna McFarland
19		Office of Consumer Advocate
20		Reptg. PUC Staff: Marcia A. B. Thunberg, Esq.
21		Mark A. Naylor, Director/Gas & Water Div. James Lenihan, Gas & Water Division
22		Jayson Laflamme, Gas & Water Division
23	Cou	rt Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52
2.4		

1		
2	INDEX	
3	WITNESS PANEL: TROY M. DIXON	PAGE NO.
4	MARK A. NAYLOR	
5	Direct examination by Mr. Taylor	6, 8
6	Direct examination by Ms. Thunberg	7, 15
7	Cross-examination by Ms. Hollenberg	22
8	Cross-examination by Mr. Gearreald	23
9	Interrogatories by Cmsr. Scott	29
10	Interrogatories by Cmsr. Harrington	32
11	Redirect examination by Mr. Taylor	36
12	Redirect examination by Ms. Thunberg	37
13		
14	* * *	
15		
16	CLOSING STATEMENTS BY:	PAGE NO.
17	Mr. Taylor	40
18	Mr. Gearreald	41
19	Ms. Hollenberg	42
20	Ms. Thunberg	43
21		
22		
23		

1			
2		EXHIBITS	
3	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
4	1	Testimony of Troy M. Dixon regarding temporary rates,	5
5		including testimony and schedules (05-14-12)	
6	2	Settlement Agreement on Temporary	5
7		Rates (08-17-12)	
8	3	Chart entitled "Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire Average	5
9		Residential Impacts for a 5/8" Metered Customer"	
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

1	PROCEEDING
2	CMSR. HARRINGTON: Good morning,
3	everybody. We're here this morning to deal with Docket DW
4	12-085, Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire,
5	Settlement Agreement on Temporary Rates.
6	I guess we can start with appearances
7	please.
8	MR. TAYLOR: Patrick Taylor, from the
9	law firm of McLane, Graf, Raulerson & Middleton,
10	representing Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire,
11	Incorporated. With me today is Troy Dixon from the
12	Company.
13	MR. GEARREALD: Okay. Good morning. My
14	name is Mark Gearreald. I'm the Town Attorney for the
15	Town of Hampton, Intervenor. Thank you.
16	CMSR. HARRINGTON: Welcome.
17	MR. GEARREALD: Thank you.
18	MS. HOLLENBERG: Good morning,
19	Commissioners and General Counsel. My name is Rorie
20	Hollenberg, and I'm here on behalf of the Office of
21	Consumer Advocate, along with Donna McFarland.
22	MS. THUNBERG: Good morning. Marcia
23	Thunberg, representing Staff. And, with me today is
24	Jayson Laflamme, Jim Lenihan, and Mark Naylor. And, just

```
1
       one point of administration. We have a presentation of a
 2
       panel in support of the Settlement Agreement that will
 3
       include Troy Dixon on behalf of the Company and Mark
      Naylor on behalf of the Staff.
 4
 5
                         CMSR. HARRINGTON: If there's nothing
 6
       else, we'll just proceed with the panel.
 7
                         MR. TAYLOR: The Company calls Troy
      Dixon to the stand. While they're getting ready, I'd like
 8
 9
       to mark some exhibits. And, you already have them before
10
       you. Exhibit 1 is the prefiled Testimony of Troy Dixon
11
       and the schedules supporting the Company's request for
       temporary rates. Exhibit 2 is the Settlement Agreement
12
13
      between the Company, Staff, and the Office of Consumer
14
       Advocate. And, Exhibit 3 is a sheet showing the impact of
15
       temporary rates as proposed in the Settlement Agreement on
16
       the bill of an average residential customer of the
17
       Company.
18
                         MS. THUNBERG: And, if I may just add,
19
       there is an agreement among the parties for the marking
       for identification of these exhibits.
20
21
                         CMSR. HARRINGTON: All right.
             The exhibits will be marked as stated.
22
       you.
23
                         (The documents, as described, were
24
                         herewith marked as Exhibit 1, Exhibit 2,
```

[WITNESS PANEL: Dixon~Naylor]

1		and <b>Exhibit 3</b> , respectively, for
2		identification.)
3		(Whereupon <b>Troy M. Dixon</b> and
4		Mark A. Naylor were duly sworn by the
5		Court Reporter.)
6		TROY M. DIXON, SWORN
7		MARK A. NAYLOR, SWORN
8		DIRECT EXAMINATION
9	BY M	R. TAYLOR:
10	Q.	Mr. Dixon, can you please state your name, employer,
11		and business address for the record?
12	Α.	(Dixon) My name is Troy Dixon. I'm employed by
13		Aquarion Water Company of Connecticut. And, the
14		business address is 600 Lindley Street, in Bridgeport,
15		Connecticut.
16	Q.	What is your position with Aquarion and what are your
17		job responsibilities?
18	Α.	(Dixon) I am the Director of Rates and Regulation. I'm
19		responsible for the preparation and presentation of
20		rate case and other regulatory filings for each of
21		Aquarion's regulated water utilities.
22	Q.	What was your role in preparing the Company's filing in
23		this case, particularly with respect to the temporary
24		rate filing?

7

- A. (Dixon) In general, I'm responsible for the overall direction of the rate case filing. With respect to the
- 3 temporary rate filing, I have sponsored testimony and
- 4 exhibits.
- 5 MR. TAYLOR: Thank you, Mr. Dixon.
- 6 Marcia, would you like to?
- 7 BY MS. THUNBERG:
- Q. Mr. Naylor, if I could just have you state your fullname and position for the record.
- 10 A. (Naylor) Yes. My name is Mark Naylor. And, I am the
  11 Director of the Gas and Water Division here at the PUC.
- 12 Q. And, what do you consider to be your area of expertise?
- 13 A. (Naylor) I'm an accountant.
- Q. And, do you perform work for the Commission within this area of expertise?
- 16 A. (Naylor) Yes, I do.
- Q. And, can you please briefly describe your involvement with this docket?
- 19 A. (Naylor) I have reviewed the Company's filings, both
  20 for its temporary rate request and its permanent rate
  21 request, and its Annual Report for 2011, and other
- 22 materials that the Company has provided, including
- 23 discovery materials.
- Q. And, you have -- have you specifically reviewed what's

1 been marked as "Exhibit 1", the "Prefiled Direct

- 2 Testimony of Troy Dixon"?
- 3 A. (Naylor) Yes, I have.
- 4 Q. And, you're familiar with that exhibit?
- 5 A. (Naylor) Yes, I am.
- 6 Q. And, Exhibit 2 is a Settlement Agreement. And, I'd
- 7 like to ask you if you participated in the drafting of
- 8 this Settlement Agreement?
- 9 A. (Naylor) Yes, I did.
- 10 Q. Are you familiar with the terms of it?
- 11 A. (Naylor) Yes, I am.
- 12 Q. And, do you have any changes or corrections to make to
- this Settlement Agreement?
- 14 A. (Naylor) No.
- 15 BY MR. TAYLOR:
- 16 Q. Mr. Dixon, the filing marked as "Exhibit 1" contains
- testimony bearing your name. Was this testimony
- prepared by you or under your direction?
- 19 A. (Dixon) Yes, it was.
- 20 Q. Do you have any changes or corrections that you'd like
- 21 to make?
- 22 A. (Dixon) No, I do not.
- 23 Q. Is the testimony true and correct to the best of your
- 24 knowledge and belief?

9

1 A. (Dixon) Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Mr. Dixon, could you please start by giving the
  Commission a brief overview of the Company's request
  for permanent rate relief in this docket?
  - A. (Dixon) On May 14th of 2012, Aquarion filed testimony, exhibits, and amended tariff pages requesting an overall increase in revenues of \$1,113,931. That represents an 18.3 percent increase over pro forma revenues for the test year ended December 31st, 2011. That produces a total revenue requirement of approximately \$7.2 million.
  - Q. As part of the May 14th filing, the Company also requested temporary rate relief. Could you please describe the level of the temporary rate relief requested?
  - A. (Dixon) We requested a temporary increase to generate an increase of \$732,078, or 12.03 percent. That's roughly two-thirds of our permanent rate request.
- Q. And, why is the Company seeking a temporary rate increase?
- A. (Dixon) Our current rates don't allow us to earn a
  reasonable return on our investment in utility plant in
  service that's currently serving our customers. The
  return on equity established in our last case nearly

[WITNESS PANEL: Dixon~Naylor]

four years was 9.75 percent. For the test year ended

December 31st, 2011, our achieved return on equity was

3.86 percent. And, even more recently, for the 12

months ended July 31st, 2012, our achieved return was

down to 2.2 percent.

In addition, the impact of implementing temporary rates will mitigate the impact of the temporary rate reconciliation when permanent rates are set.

- Q. Now, what factors are contributing to the Company's inability to achieve its authorized return on equity?
- A. (Dixon) There are two main factors driving that inability to earn a return. First, there's been a steady increase in operating expenses, particularly increases in property taxes by the communities that the Company serves. And, secondly, the Company has seen a continued downward trend in consumption on a per customer basis.
- Q. Thank you. Turning to the Settlement Agreement that's been marked as Exhibit 2, are you familiar with the Settlement Agreement?
- 22 A. (Dixon) Yes, I am.
- Q. And, did you participate in the process that resulted in the Settlement Agreement?

-

- 1 A. (Dixon) I did.
- Q. Is this Settlement the result of a compromise between
- 3 Aquarion, the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission,
- 4 and the Office of Consumer Advocate?
- 5 A. (Dixon) It is.
- 6 Q. Could you please walk the Commission through the terms
- 7 of the Agreement.
- 8 A. (Dixon) The Settlement presents an increase in overall
- 9 annual revenues of \$535,709, or 8.8 percent. That
- 10 8.8 percent increase will be achieved through an
- increase of 9 percent to each of the customer classes,
- as well as lesser increases to some of the
- 13 miscellaneous charges.
- 14 Q. And, that 9 percent increase, will that be implemented
- on an across-the-board basis?
- 16 A. (Dixon) Yes, it will.
- 17 Q. And, approximately what percentage of the overall
- 18 requested increase in this case does the agreed upon
- 19 temporary increase in revenues represent?
- 20 A. (Dixon) It's roughly 48 percent of our permanent rate
- 21 request.
- 22 Q. Mr. Dixon, I would ask you to refer to Page 6 of
- 23 Exhibit 2. Can you please explain this schedule?
- 24 A. (Dixon) The exhibit is titled "Report of Proposed Rate

- 1 Changes - Temporary Rates - Settlement". It depicts 2 each of the customer classes, both the current and 3 proposed revenues. For each of the customer classes, there's a 9 percent increase, whereas miscellaneous 4 5 charges increase at a lesser amount. As a result, the 6 overall increase is 8.8 percent. 7 Mr. Dixon, could you -- if you could please refer to Q. 8 Pages 7 through 15 of Exhibit 2. Could you please 9 explain these schedules for the Commission. 10 (Dixon) Page 7 is a summary of the billing analysis, 11 depicting revenue at present and proposed rates. Again, for each of the classes, it shows the 9 percent 12 13 increase. It also depicts a breakdown for the seasonal 14 customers. 15 In terms of Pages 8 through 14, the 16 schedules depict even further breakout of the 17 individual classes of customer, showing the individual 18 meter sizes, as well as test year consumption amounts. And, again, all depicting a 9 percent increase for each 19 20 of the customer classes. 21 Finally, Page 15 depicts a itemization 22 of some of the miscellaneous charges, which go up at an
  - amount totaling 2.38 percent.
  - Q. Mr. Dixon, you also have before you a document that's

24

- been marked as "Exhibit 3". Can you explain what this document is and what the information is shown -- or, what information is shown on it?
- (Dixon) Certainly. The document depicts the impact for 4 Α. 5 an average residential customer. It assumes that that 6 customer used 53,300 gallons of water on an annual 7 The 53,300 gallons is based on what the average basis. 8 customer used during our test year. Again, it shows a 9 9 percent increase for that average customer. And, it 10 breaks down to \$3.26 on a monthly basis, and \$9.78 on a 11 quarterly basis.
- Q. And, just to clarify, when we're talking about the
  "average residential customer", what is the size of the
  meter?
- 15 A. (Dixon) It's a 5/8ths inch metered customer.
- Q. Mr. Dixon, when will the temporary rates take effect based upon this Settlement Agreement?
- 18 A. (Dixon) They would be effective for service rendered on or after July 1st of 2012.
- Q. And, can you briefly explain the significance of the July 1st date?
- A. (Dixon) We filed the rate case on May 14th of 2012,
  requesting a July 1st effective date for the temporary
  rates. In addition, on June 22nd, we provided notice

to our customers via a display ad in several of the
local newspapers. We also mailed copies of that
display ad to each of the towns, as well as the North
Hampton Water Commission. And, finally, during the
last week of June, we mailed notice of the proposed
increase to each of our customers.

- Q. Mr. Dixon, assuming that the Commission were to approve temporary rates as contemplated in the Settlement Agreement, and further assuming that the Commission were to ultimately approve permanent rates, how would any difference between the two rates be reconciled?
- A. (Dixon) Any difference between the temporary rates approved and the permanent rates approved would be reconciled back to July 1st of 2012, upon the implementation of the new permanent rates.
- Q. And, how would the reconciliation be implemented?
- A. (Dixon) Once we calculate the difference between the temporary rates and the permanent rates, we would propose that those amounts would be reconciled to each respective customer class based on meter size or connection size.
- Q. Thank you, Mr. Dixon. In your opinion, will the Settlement Agreement as proposed result in just and reasonable rates?

[WITNESS PANEL: Dixon~Naylor]

- 1 A. (Dixon) Yes, it will.
- Q. And, do you believe that this Settlement Agreement is in the public interest?
- 4 A. (Dixon) I do.
- 5 MR. TAYLOR: Thank you. I have no
- 6 further questions.
- 7 MS. THUNBERG: And, I'm going to follow
- 8 up with this panel.
- 9 BY MS. THUNBERG:
- 10 Q. Mr. Dixon, I just have a follow-up question. On the
- effective date of July 1, can you please explain how
- this July 1 date will work with the billing cycle?
- 13 And, in your explanation, can you explain if there's --
- if you have monthly billing customers or quarterly
- 15 billed customers?
- 16 A. (Dixon) We have mostly quarterly billed customers. So,
- any amounts that are -- any shortfall between them --
- 18 I'm sorry -- any shortfall or surplus between the
- 19 temporary and the permanent rates for that period would
- 20 be calculated at the time of the permanent rates. And,
- 21 then, we would either charge that shortfall or surplus
- 22 back to the customers at that point via some sort of
- 23 surcharge mechanism.
- Q. So, is it fair to say that the July 1st date was picked

1 because it was at the beginning of either a quarterly 2 or monthly billing cycle?

(Dixon) Yes. That is correct. Α.

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Okay. 4 Thank you. Mr. Naylor, do you have an opinion Q. 5 as to why -- whether the Company needs a rate increase 6 at this time?
  - (Naylor) Yes. It does appear that a rate increase will Α. be warranted, pending, of course, a full evaluation of the Company's filing. Just a brief review of the Company's permanent case reveals that, for example, the Company has additional plant investment in the four year period ending December of 2011 of about \$4.45 million in gross utility plant. It's property taxes have increased about 18 percent from 2009 to 2011. It's operation and maintenance expenses in aggregate have increased, roughly from 2008 to 2011, by about \$643,000, or about 27 percent. Obviously, we need to review the reasons for these increases in O&M, and review the property tax bills, and look at the utility plant that's been invested.

But these things indicate that, to me, that it appears the Company will -- will require a rate increase out of this case in order to have an opportunity to earn its cost of capital.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

17 [WITNESS PANEL: Dixon~Naylor]

- Q. Thank you. You heard in testimony this morning characterizing the Settlement Agreement or the Agreement on Temporary Rates as a "compromise". Do you have an opinion on that?
- Α. (Naylor) Yes. I agree with that characterization. Ιt is a compromise.
- And, you've heard Mr. Dixon state what the agreed to Q. revenue requirement is. But do you have any comments on the revenue requirement and why it's appropriate?
- (Naylor) Well, again, it's a compromise, based on what Α. the parties agreed, in looking at not only the level of the permanent rate requested, but a preliminary review of some of the Company's financial information from its test period. This is an increase of a little over half a million dollars in revenues. As Mr. Dixon indicated, it's about 48 percent of their permanent rate request. So, we felt it was a reasonable compromise. give the Company some rate relief on a temporary basis. And, if there is a larger permanent increase warranted, it will help to provide some mitigation of rate shock to customers at the conclusion of the case.
- Mr. Dixon, I have a brief question for you. Ο. Settlement Agreement, on Page 6, is the "Report of Proposed Rate Change". And, you had touched upon that

[WITNESS PANEL: Dixon~Naylor]

- there were miscellaneous charges that weren't being increased by 9 percent. Do you recall that testimony?
- 3 A. (Dixon) I do.
- Q. Can you please explain what are the "miscellaneous charges"?
- A. (Dixon) The miscellaneous charges are further laid out
  on Page 15. And, the bulk of those relates to antenna
  lease income, which are -- those amounts are based on
  contractual agreements. The portion of the
  miscellaneous charges that is going up relates to the
  late fees, and assumes that, as overall revenues go up,
- the amount of late fees will go up the same proportion.
- Q. Okay. And, would you agree that this miscellaneous increase only going up 2.38 percent is the reason why we don't see this 8.8 revenue increase being a mirror increase to customers as 8.8?
- 17 A. (Dixon) That is correct.
- Q. Okay. Mr. Naylor, do you have any concern that, under temporary rates, that Aquarion will be over earning?
- 20 A. (Naylor) No, I don't.
- Q. And, could you please explain why Staff is agreeing to the July 1st effective date?
- 23 A. (Naylor) Well, we believe that sufficient notice has
  24 been provided to customers prior to that date. That is

Τ		something that's consistent with the Commission's
2		practice in the recent past. That, if customers have
3		received adequate notice of the proposal prior to the
4		effective date, then that is a reasonable date at which
5		to establish temporary rates.
6	Q.	And, I believe you may have alluded to the answer to my
7		next question. I wanted to get in the record what are
8		some of the benefits from Staff's perspective of
9		allowing temporary rates, if you could please explain?
10	Α.	(Naylor) Yes, I touched on it a little bit. In a case
11		such as this, where the Company is receiving an
12		increase for temporary rates that's roughly half of
13		what their permanent request is, and without
14		prejudging, of course, what the final permanent
15		increase might be, it does permit some gradualism to a
16		potential increase to customers, and will help to
17		mitigate the rate shock of a larger increase. And,
18		consistent with the Commission's obligation to balance
19		the interests between utilities and the customers that
20		are served, it does give the Company some rate relief
21		in the interim period. So, that is, I'd say, two of
22		the more important factors in agreeing to a temporary
23		rate increase while the permanent rate request is

24

reviewed.

[WITNESS PANEL: Dixon~Naylor]

- Q. Are you familiar with RSA 378:29's adjustment and reconciliation of permanent and temporary rates?
- 3 A. (Naylor) Yes, I am.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And, can you please describe what usually happens in reconciliation rate cases?
- A. (Naylor) The permanent rate finally determined by the

  Commission will be compared with the temporary rates

  approved. The difference will be either refunded to

  customers or surcharged to customers, as the case may

  be, for the period of time that temporary rates were in

  effect.
- Q. In the event that there is a rate design change, does that complicate reconciliation of temporary and permanent rates?
  - A. (Naylor) It doesn't really complicate it. You simply have to do the reconciliation for each customer class separately, such that you reflect the rate design change that is reflected in the permanent rate decision of the Commission. So, it's a couple of additional steps, but I don't think it's a complicating factor at all.
  - Q. Okay. Thank you. In the event that permanent rates are lower than temporary rates, is there a problem with making customers whole?

[WITNESS PANEL: Dixon~Naylor]

final order.

```
A. (Naylor) No. There's no problem with that. If that
does happen in the case, then the Company would be
requested to refund the difference back to customers in
a manner that the Commission would determine in its
```

- Q. Do you have any opinion on the likelihood of a permanent rate being lower than the temporary rate that we're recommending the Commission approve?
- A. (Naylor) Well, it doesn't look like it will be. But we will have to make that determination after a full review of the case.
- Q. Okay. RSA 378:30 allows the Commission to require a bond of a company in the event it awards temporary rates. Do you have an opinion on whether that's necessary in this proceeding?
- A. (Naylor) No, I don't believe it is. I think the

  Company is financially healthy, in terms of its balance
  sheet, and certainly access to capital. So, I don't
  think that's any concern for us at this time.
- Q. Do you believe the proposed temporary rates are in the public interest?
- 22 A. (Naylor) Yes, I do.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Q. And, do you have an opinion as to whether they are just and reasonable?

## [WITNESS PANEL: Dixon~Naylor]

- 1 A. (Naylor) I believe that they are.
- 2 MS. THUNBERG: It looks like both Staff
- and counsel for Aquarion are finished with our direct.
- 4 CMSR. HARRINGTON: Ms. Hollenberg, do
- 5 you have any questions?
- 6 MS. HOLLENBERG: I do. Thank you.

## 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 8 BY MS. HOLLENBERG:
- 9 Q. Mr. Naylor, you just were asked a question about
- whether or not rate design changes for permanent rate
- 11 purposes would complicate the recoupment done under RSA
- 12 378:29. Do you recall that?
- 13 A. (Naylor) I do.
- 14 Q. And, is it not -- is it correct that there are no
- agreements at this time as far as whether or not rate
- design changes made for purposes of permanent rates
- will be applied to the recoupment calculation?
- 18 A. (Naylor) There are no agreements to that effect.
- 19 Q. So, it's possible that you wouldn't even factor in rate
- 20 design changes made for permanent rate purposes into
- 21 your recoupment calculation, is that correct?
- 22 A. (Naylor) I guess it's possible. Sure
- MS. HOLLENBERG: Okay. Thank you. No
- 24 other questions.

1 CMSR. HARRINGTON: The gentleman from

- 2 Hampton.
- 3 MR. GEARREALD: Thank you. Good
- 4 morning.
- 5 BY MR. GEARREALD:
- 6 Q. Mr. Dixon, you've introduced through your testimony,
- 7 Exhibit 1, which was the direct prefiled testimony in
- 8 support of temporary rates, is that correct?
- 9 A. (Dixon) Yes.
- 10 Q. I believe in your direct prefiled testimony, the dollar
- figure, in terms of increased revenues that was being
- sought, appearing on Page 4, was \$732,078, is that
- 13 right?
- 14 A. (Dixon) That is correct.
- 15 Q. That represented a figure -- a revenue increase of
- 16 12.03 percent at that time?
- 17 A. (Dixon) Yes.
- 18 Q. Actually, in the data requests that were submitted to
- 19 the Company and answered, I believe you were in charge
- of the answers?
- 21 A. (Dixon) I was.
- 22 Q. Yes. And, I think that temporary revenue requirement
- actually went down slightly, to \$731,936, is that
- 24 right?

[WITNESS PANEL: Dixon~Naylor]

- 1 A. (Dixon) I don't recall the dollar figure, but I recall
- 2 that it reduced to about 10.77 percent.
- 3 Q. In the --
- 4 MR. GEARREALD: May I approach the
- 5 witness?
- 6 CMSR. HARRINGTON: Sure.
- 7 BY MR. GEARREALD:
- 8 Q. Just to refresh your memory, I'm showing you Town Data
- 9 Request 1-1. It looks like the figure that was
- originally 732 some thousand went down slightly. Can
- 11 you read the figure there?
- 12 A. (Dixon) This figure referenced is not related to any of
- the adjustments that caused our request to go down.
- 14 Q. Correct.
- 15 A. (Dixon) This figure here is related to the actual rate
- 16 design, --
- 17 Q. Sure.
- 18 A. (Dixon) -- designed to recoup our revenue requirement.
- 19 Q. Yes.
- 20 A. (Dixon) A slight difference between the rate design and
- 21 the amount of revenue sought.
- 22 | Q. Sure. But, again, that related to the initial request
- for 12.03 percent?
- 24 A. (Dixon) Yes. That is correct.

[WITNESS PANEL: Dixon~Naylor]

- Q. Of that figure, and, again, what is that figure that you had mentioned there?
- 3 A. (Dixon) The figure here is \$731,936.
- 4 Q. Thank you.
- 5 CMSR. HARRINGTON: Excuse me. Could you
- 6 identify the document that you're quoting from?
- 7 MR. GEARREALD: Yes. This would be
- 8 Town's Data Request 1-1, and the Company's response
- 9 through Mr. Dixon.
- 10 BY MR. GEARREALD:
- 11 Q. Now, Mr. Dixon, a question was asked in relation to
- that data request, "how much of the 731,000" -- and
- what's the rest?
- 14 A. (Dixon) \$936.
- 15 Q. -- "\$936, how much of that particular figure is
- compromised of the revenue requirement being sought to
- make up for decreased water consumption?" And, you
- gave a figure there, did you not?
- 19 A. (Dixon) Yes. The response was "\$214,000".
- 20 Q. Thank you. That's one of the two major sources of the
- 21 revenue requirement being sought in the temporary rate
- 22 increase?
- 23 A. (Dixon) That is correct.
- 24 Q. A second source that you mention in response to

1 Attorney Taylor's questions was in connection with

- 2 operating expenses, is that correct?
- 3 A. (Dixon) Yes. That is correct.
- 4 Q. And, a portion of that you had testified related to tax
- 5 increases, correct?
- 6 A. (Dixon) Yes.
- 7 Q. Now, that had been asked of you in Town's Data Request
- 8 1-25. Do you see your answer there?
- 9 A. (Dixon) I do.
- 10 Q. And, of the, again, \$731,936, you had given an answer
- as to how much of that figure was attributable in the
- test year of 2011 to tax increases, is that right?
- 13 A. (Dixon) Yes. That is correct.
- 14 Q. How much of that, of the \$731,936, was attributable to
- 15 tax increases?
- 16 A. (Dixon) It's \$104,712, for an overall increase in those
- taxes of 25.2 percent.
- 18 Q. And, of the \$731,936, the tax increases represent
- 19 approximately 15 percent, does that sound right to you?
- 20 A. (Dixon) That sounds correct, yes.
- 21 Q. And, of the \$731,936, in relation to the decreased
- 22 revenues due to water consumption, approximately
- 23 29 percent of that is -- it's approximately 29 percent
- 24 of the \$731,000?

[WITNESS PANEL: Dixon~Naylor]

- 1 A. (Dixon) That appears correct, yes.
- Q. So, the decreased consumption of water, the revenues being sought to make up for that are about twice of the
- 4 amount of taxes?
- 5 A. (Dixon) For the test year period, yes.
- 6 Q. For the test year. Thank you. Mr. Dixon, the initial
- 7 figure or percentage being sought for a temporary rate
- 8 increase that appears on Page 4 of Exhibit 1
- 9 represented 12.03 percent, is that correct?
- 10 A. (Dixon) Yes, it is.
- 11 Q. And, in response to Staff data requests, which again
- 12 you were answering, I believe the Company lowered its
- request for a temporary rate increase to 10.77 percent,
- 14 is that correct?
- 15 A. (Dixon) That is correct.
- 16 Q. And, again, that figure of 10.77 percent was overall
- 17 rate increase, an overall figure?
- 18 A. (Dixon) Yes.
- 19 Q. Yes. And, so, in today's hearing and under the
- 20 Settlement Agreement, the compromise figure being
- 21 presented is lower still, as reflected in Schedule 5A
- to "8.8 percent", correct?
- 23 A. (Dixon) 8.8 percent overall, yes.
- 24 Q. So, comparing the figure being presented today for a

[WITNESS PANEL: Dixon~Naylor]

- 1 temporary rate increase, to the figure that was
- 2 presented initially of "12.03 percent", represents a
- 3 reduction of approximately 25 percent, correct, in the
- 4 rate -- temporary rate increase being sought?
- 5 A. (Dixon) Yes.
- 6 Q. Thank you. Mr. Naylor, good morning.
- 7 A. (Naylor) Good morning.
- 8 Q. I believe you stated this already, Mr. Naylor, but the
- 9 settlement being recommended of temporary rates being
- 10 put forth today by Staff and by the Consumer Advocate
- does not foreclose in any way an evaluation of the rate
- of return being sought permanently, does it?
- 13 A. (Naylor) It does not.
- 14 Q. It does not foreclose, does it, the issue of evaluating
- who should bear the burden ultimately of the savings
- due to water conservation that caused a decrease in
- 17 revenue to the Company?
- 18 A. (Naylor) It does not.
- 19 Q. This Settlement does not foreclose in any way an
- 20 evaluation of whether the WICA charge is accomplishing
- 21 the purposes for which it was put in place as a pilot
- 22 program, does it?
- 23 A. (Naylor) It does not.
- 24 Q. And, again, I think you've already stated that it is

1 possible, through evaluation of the rate design, that

29

2 the permanent rate could be less than the temporary

3 rate?

- 4 A. (Naylor) It is possible.
- 5 Q. At which point, in that event, there would be a

6 reconciliation to the positive for the customers,

7 correct?

- 8 A. (Naylor) That's correct.
- 9 MR. GEARREALD: That's all the questions
- 10 I have. Thank you.
- 11 CMSR. HARRINGTON: Thank you.
- 12 Commissioner Scott.
- 13 CMSR. SCOTT: Good morning.
- 14 WITNESS NAYLOR: Good morning.
- 15 BY CMSR. SCOTT:
- 16 Q. I'd like to explore a little bit more for my
- edification the discussion on the decrease in water
- 18 consumption. What is that due to? It is implied that
- it's due to water conservation. Is that the case?
- 20 A. (Dixon) I think it's a combination of things. It's
- just a general conservation ethic that exists today
- 22 that conservation is the right thing to do. It's -- a
- 23 portion is related to just the prevalence of
- 24 water-saving toilets, appliances, and the like. And,

then, there's also going to be some portion of it that's related to the economy.

- Q. Do you, moving -- do you project water conservation and water reductions like that? Do you have like a long-term plan where you look at that?
- A. (Dixon) We've seen the trend over at least our period of ownership of the company, since 2002. We've seen similar trends in our Connecticut operation, which experiences, you know, roughly 1 percent decline per year as a result of these behavioral changes.
- Q. And, I assume any losses in the pipes and that type of thing, that's considered as part of that also?
  - A. (Dixon) It's looking purely at the amount of water people are using. So, this is whatever is getting billed to those customers.
  - Q. Okay. So, it would be what's going through the meter?
- 17 A. (Dixon) Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

Q. Thank you. All right. Okay. And, similarly, I guess we discussed this already. So, you do have some kind of a long-term plan where you look at, in addition to water conservation, you look at your maintenance and requirements, that type of thing? I assume you have some kind of planning horizon you look at for major overhauls?

[WITNESS PANEL: Dixon~Naylor]

1 A. (Dixon) We generally do a five year business plan each year.

- Q. Thank you. And, obviously, the Water Infrastructure and Conservation Adjustment is not part of this temporary rate increase. I was just curious, without that, would you be -- I assume you'd be looking for a larger increase, is that a fair assumption?
- A. (Dixon) Yes. Right now, the WICA surcharge is roughly 3.7 percent. That would have been added on to our initial request of 18.3. So, yes. The request would have been in excess of 20 percent.
- Q. Okay. And, regarding your antenna leases, can you explain that to me a little bit more, so I can understand where it's coming from?
- A. (Dixon) When we enter into a lease with any of the telecommunications companies, it's generally for a certain duration. It could be five years, ten years. But, usually, within those contracts, there's an escalation, which increases the amount we collect for inflation factors. So, one of the pro forma adjustments that's both in the temporary rate request, as well as the permanent rate request, is projecting those increases in as a pro forma adjustment. So, that lessens the burden on the rest of the customers.

1 Q. So, are the antennas physically on like water towers, 2 that type of structure?

Α. (Dixon) Yes.

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4 CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. That's all I 5 have.

6 CMSR. HARRINGTON: Good morning. Just a couple of quick questions. 7

#### BY CMSR. HARRINGTON: 8

- Mr. Dixon, would regard to kind of a follow-up to what Ο. Commissioner Scott was talking about, you're talking about a continuing decrease in the use of consumption of water. And, that at least partially begets us a higher rate for the water, which is going to make people more cognizant of the fact they're paying more for water, which is going to tend to have more pressure to reduce the water. What, if anything, can we do to address that spiral, where you see decreased uses increasing rates, which result in more decrease of usage, which, on and on?
- Α. (Dixon) Yes. I think, in general, we all agree that conservation is a good thing to promote, and we're happy to do that. I don't necessarily think that rate increases are the key drivers for conservation taking I think the key ones, as we've said, are just hold.

general conservation ethic, as well as sort of the appliances out there, that every time you replace a toilet, it's using, you know, 40 percent less water. I think those are sort of the main drivers behind this.

One of the things that we're trying to promote at Aquarion is really communicating to our customers that what they pay for is really a service, not necessarily for a certain quantity of water. You know, we're providing the availability of water, you know, 24 hours a day, seven days a week. So that, when you turn on your faucet, it's there; when you flush your toilet, the water is there. With that, there's a certain amount of investment required, capital costs. A lot of fixed costs in the business that are really not going to change as customers' consumption habits decrease. You know, we have to continue to provide that service 24 hours a day at that same level of cost.

So, whereas we may not have a real solution to the problem, you know, we're going to be happy to talk to Staff and the OCA and the Town of Hampton during this permanent process, to see if there's, you know, things we can do to help mitigate the net impact.

Q. I guess what my question is directed at was we've seen

a lot of water companies where they seem to be chasing themselves almost. Where they come in and they'll say something like "Okay, you had property taxes go up, you had consumption of water go down, so that's going to push your costs up higher." There's some letters on the record here that have come in where people have complained about the increase in water. There's going to be more awareness of costs, especially with a close to 20 percent increase that you're requesting, and that will result in some people being more cognizant of the water they use.

A. (Dixon) Uh-huh.

Q. And, I think, admittedly, maybe it's the water-saving toilets and appliances. But, as the price starts to go up, they'll just be turning off the tap a little bit more, watering their lawn a little bit less, which only makes the situation a little bit worse. So, I hope, long term, I was just kind of hoping that the Company is looking at this from more of a point of view of looking to the future, and not just, "okay, we'll fix our revenues this year, and then, basically, a year or two from now, when the property taxes go up again, which they almost inevitably seem to do everywhere, and the consumption goes down, and our fixed costs go up,

- 1 that we would be requesting another 20 percent rate." 2 That's not tenable for a long time. It doesn't sound 3 like much now. But, if you put two or three 20 percent rates together, and, boy, you're talking a huge change 4 5 in people's use of water habits.
- 6 (Dixon) I agree. We've seen this kind of trend, like I Α. 7 said before, in our Connecticut operation as well. And, you know, we don't necessarily have a silver 8 9 bullet right now in terms of a solution. But we're 10 happy to, you know, listen to any ideas people have in 11 order to head this off. Because we don't have the answer right now, and I don't think we've seen it out 12 13 there yet.
  - Well, just as long as you're aware of the problem and make it a priority, I guess, more than anything else.
- 16 Α. (Dixon) Okay.

14

15

- 17 CMSR. HARRINGTON: That's all I had.
- 18 You have anything else?
- 19 CMSR. SCOTT: No.
- 20 CMSR. HARRINGTON: I think the only
- 21 thing we have left then is to admit the exhibits as full
- 22 exhibits. Any objections to that?
- 23 MS. THUNBERG: We have redirect.
- 24 CMSR. HARRINGTON: Oh, I'm sorry. Sure.

[WITNESS PANEL: Dixon~Naylor]

1 Yes. I didn't realize. Sorry about that.

2 MR. TAYLOR: I'd like to do some as

3 well.

5

7

8

9

10

4 CMSR. HARRINGTON: Okay.

#### REDIRECT EXAMINATION

#### 6 BY MR. TAYLOR:

- Q. Mr. Dixon, earlier Attorney Gearreald referred you to I think it was Staff Data Request 1-19, and it referred to a change in the -- a change in the requested overall annual revenues to 10.77 percent?
- 11 A. (Dixon) Yes.
- Q. Is it fair to say that the Company made that change after discussions with the Staff at a technical session?
- 15 A. (Dixon) Yes. We withdrew one of our pro forma

  16 adjustments to rate base, as well as made certain other

  17 corrections to the filing.
- Q. Thank you. Attorney Gearreald also referred you to
  certain Hampton data requests, regarding a portion of
  the requested increase attributable to declining
  consumption, and he also referred you to a data request
  regarding an increase in property taxes. Is it,
  regarding the property taxes, is it fair to say that
  the property taxes are a percentage of a larger amount

### [WITNESS PANEL: Dixon~Naylor]

- of expenses that have increased for the Company?
- 2 A. (Dixon) Yes.
- 3 MR. TAYLOR: Okay. That's all I have on
- 4 redirect.
- 5 CMSR. HARRINGTON: Ms. Thunberg.
- 6 MS. THUNBERG: Thank you. Mr. Dixon, I
- 7 have some follow-up questions to you regarding the
- 8 discussion about water consumption and sales.
- 9 BY MS. THUNBERG:
- 10 Q. Are you aware of whether decreased sales is a general
- 11 trend among water utilities?
- 12 A. (Dixon) I've seen several reports that indicate that it
- is a nationwide trend.
- 14 Q. Do you know if that's expected to continue?
- 15 A. (Dixon) The numbers I've looked at have been for about
- the last 15 years, and I don't see any signs of it
- 17 changing.
- 18 Q. How many customers does Aquarion serve?
- 19 A. (Dixon) In New Hampshire, I believe it's 9,100.
- 20 Q. And, in the schedules, either in your direct testimony
- and the Settlement Agreement, I was looking for a
- 22 breakdown of the customers, number of customers who are
- 23 billed quarterly and monthly. Am I able to tell out of
- 24 these schedules?

- 1 Α. (Dixon) The only schedules that depict that level of 2 detail are in the billing analysis schedules. 3 believe they would be Schedules 5D through 5H, where there's a breakdown of the individual meter charges, 4 5 whether they are quarterly or monthly.
- You said "5G", as in "George"? 6 Q.
- 7 (Dixon) I'm sorry, 5D through 5G, I believe. Α.
- Okay. Got it. Thank you. 8
- 9 (Dixon) Okay.
- 10 Mr. Naylor, there was a brief mention of lost water. Q. 11 And, I wanted to ask, does Staff feel that Aquarion -or, what is Staff's opinion on whether Aquarion has a 12 13 lost water problem or not?
- 14 (Naylor) I haven't looked at that recently, and 15 certainly have not looked at it as part of this case, 16 as yet. I do recall that the Company has had water 17 loss detection efforts in the past. But it's something 18 that we will be looking at, as we usually do, in the 19 permanent rate phase of this docket.

20 MS. THUNBERG: Thank you. Staff has no 21 further redirect.

22 CMSR. HARRINGTON: Ms. Hollenberg? 23 MS. HOLLENBERG: Nothing. Thank you. 24 Sounds like CMSR. HARRINGTON: Okay.

1 somebody turned up the microphones in here. 2 MR. GEARREALD: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 3 **RECROSS-EXAMINATION** BY MR. GEARREALD: 4 5 Mr. Dixon, Attorney Taylor had asked you about taxes 6 being -- about one component of the --7 CMSR. HARRINGTON: Excuse me. This is sort of like a redirect of a recross. So, we don't 8 9 usually allow that. 10 MR. GEARREALD: Okay. 11 CMSR. HARRINGTON: So, I think, in this case, we'll just stop it right here. 12 13 MR. GEARREALD: Pardon me. 14 CMSR. HARRINGTON: Okay? 15 MR. GEARREALD: That's fine. Thank you. 16 CMSR. HARRINGTON: Anything else we need 17 to deal with? Again, let's go over that thing. Was there 18 any objections to the exhibits being made permanent? 19 MS. HOLLENBERG: No thank you. 20 MS. THUNBERG: No objection. 21 MR. TAYLOR: No objection. CMSR. HARRINGTON: And, is there -- I 22 23 see that the requested Settlement Agreement is retroactive 24 to July 1st. So, is there any target date for getting --

## [WITNESS PANEL: Dixon~Naylor]

```
1
       that we should at least strive to get the order out on?
 2
                         MR. TAYLOR: I mean, I would request as
 3
       soon as practicable by the Commission.
 4
                         CMSR. HARRINGTON: Is there a key date
 5
       for billing purposes or anything like that? That's what
 6
       I'm trying to determine.
 7
                         MR. TAYLOR: If I can confer with my
       witness and see if that's the case?
 8
                         WITNESS DIXON: October 1 would be the
 9
10
       preferred date. That way we would have two billing cycles
11
       that were at the reduced temporary rate.
                         CMSR. HARRINGTON: So, no later than
12
13
       October 1st. Okay. Anything else?
14
                         MS. HOLLENBERG: No thank you.
15
                         CMSR. HARRINGTON: Thank you very much.
16
       We'll take this under advisement.
17
                         MR. TAYLOR: Shall we give closing
18
       statements or --
19
                         CMSR. HARRINGTON:
                                            I guess, yes.
20
       don't have my list with me. So, apologies. Yes, please.
21
       You can start. That's fine. Mr. Taylor.
22
                         MR. TAYLOR: Sure. Thank you. Aquarion
23
       respectfully requests that the Commission approve the
24
       Settlement Agreement as presented to it today. As
```

```
1
       demonstrated in the Company's filings, and I believe was
       confirmed by the testimony of Mr. Dixon and Mr. Naylor,
 2
 3
       Aquarion is under earning, relative to the return of
 4
       equity authorized by the Commission in the Company's last
 5
       general rate case. And, we believe there's a sufficient
 6
       record to support a temporary rate increase.
 7
                         The Settlement Agreement that is before
       the Commission today is the result of a compromise between
 8
 9
       the Company, the Staff, and the Office of the Consumer
10
       Advocate, and the Town of Hampton has not opposed it.
11
       And, we believe that the rates set forth therein are
12
       reasonable, and that the Settlement Agreement is in the
13
      public interest.
14
                         The Company is most appreciative of the
15
       efforts of the Staff, OCA, and the Town of Hampton leading
16
       up to the Settlement Agreement. And, we look forward to
17
       working with the Staff and the parties throughout the
18
       remainder of the case. The Company also appreciates the
19
       opportunity to have been heard today. And, I thank you.
20
                         CMSR. HARRINGTON: Ms. Thunberg.
21
                         MR. GEARREALD:
                                        Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
                                            The gentleman goes
22
                         CMSR. HARRINGTON:
```

As Attorney Taylor has {DW 12-085} [Re: Temporary Rates] {08-28-12}

MR. GEARREALD:

23

24

next, okay.

indicated, the Town of Hampton is not a signatory to this proposed Settlement; on the other hand, we are not opposing it at this time. It does represent, as

Mr. Naylor has indicated, a compromise being suggested on the part of Staff and the OCA.

This is a compromise that does not foreclose inquiry into major issues that are of concern to the Town of Hampton and to others. Namely, the rate of return being sought; the fact that customers are being asked to bear the cost of savings they have achieved through water conservation; and the third area of major concern is whether WICA is, in fact, accomplishing its purposes of decreasing rate shock and the frequency of rate increases. All of these are areas of inquiry that are open, and can and will be explored on a permanent basis.

But, for today's purpose, the

8.8 percent overall rate for temporary purposes is

25 percent less than was initially sought by the Company.

And, for all those reasons, the Town of Hampton does not object to this Settlement Agreement. Thank you.

MS. HOLLENBERG: Thank you. The Office of Consumer Advocate supports the Settlement Agreement and respectfully requests that you approve it.

1	CMSR. HARRINGTON: Thank you.
2	MS. THUNBERG: Thank you, Commissioners
3	and General Counsel, for your time today. Staff
4	respectfully requests that Commission approve the
5	temporary rates proposed for Aquarion. Staff believes
6	that the record demonstrates that it is in the public
7	interest for the Commission to fix and determine such
8	temporary rates, and that the temporary rates proposed
9	or, the customer rates, based on the temporary revenue
10	requirement increase, will be just and reasonable. Thank
11	you again. And, we ask that you approve the Settlement
12	Agreement. Thank you.
13	CMSR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Now, I think
14	we'll take it under advisement. Thank you.
15	(Whereupon the hearing ended at 10:50
16	a.m.)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	